Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/665,097	BARRUS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	NATHAN HILLERY	2176	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>NATHAN HILLERY</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Jennifer Bush</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 12 February 2009	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 101, 103			
Claims discussed: 1,2, 32			
Prior art documents discussed:  Davies and Klotz			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
/Nathan Hillery/ Examiner, Art Unit 2176	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: discussed specifying that the sticker is a self removable adhesive sticker as recited in claim and indicating specifying that the document index is originally in hardcopy form and that the computer acquires an image of it similar to claim 32 so that the claim would be in condition for allowance. At this time, applicant's representative has agreed.